

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

Special Civil Application Nos.554, 556, 557, 558, 559,
560, 561, 565, 566, 568, 570, 571, 573, 576, 578, 580,
582 & 585 of 2001.
(18 matters)

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

GE LIGHTING (INDIA) LTD

Versus

ARVIND S PRAJAPATI

GUJARAT MAZDOOR PANCHAYAT

Appearance:

NANAVATI ASSOCIATES for Petitioner

MRS SANGEETA N PAHWA for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

Date of decision: 26/04/2001

COMMON ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. These petitions are proposed to be disposed of finally at the admission stage.

#. Heard Shri Nanavati on behalf of the petitioners and Mrs.Sangeeta N.Pahwa for the respondents. Statement is made by Shri Nanavati on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners may be permitted to withdraw these petitions unconditionally for the reasons that the interim order dated 16-1-2001 Annexure-'A' which is under challenge was admittedly not extended by the Tribunal beyond 29-1-2001. In view of this, all the petitions have to be dismissed as withdrawn.

#. However, at this stage, Mrs.Sangeeta N.Pahwa states that, in compliance of the order dated 22-1-2001 passed by this Court, the respondents could not report at the transferred place. It is also informed that an application has been moved before the Tribunal for extension of interim relief granted by the Tribunal on 16-1-2001, which was to continue upto 29-1-2001, but the same could not be decided because of interim order of this Court. It will, therefore, be open for the Tribunal to take up the said application and decide the same in accordance with law. The Tribunal will be further free to decide all other objections raised by the respondents of these petitions especially the effect of non-continuance of its interim order after 29-1-2001 till date after this order. No order as to cost. Interim order is vacated.

April 26, 2001. [D.C. Srivastava, J.]

/sakka f